

Message 2 - August 2014: The Felix Study: Personal Attack Under Cover Of Science

I have just posted a new blog titled "The Felix Study: Personal Attack Under Cover of Science" at <http://ethericstudies.org/essays/the-felix-study-personal-attack-under-cover-of-science/>

I am writing today to bring you up to date about the reports produced under the cover of academic authority about one of the few people in this world able and will to demonstrate physical phenomena of the séances room.

Many of you may be aware of the study Stephen Braude and his team conducted of physical medium Kai Mügge. The final report came out in the Journal of Scientific Exploration, issue 28-2, Summer 2014. The articles are titled: "The Development and Phenomena of a Circle for Physical Mediumship" by Michael Nahm and "Investigations of the Felix Experimental Group: 2010–2013" by Stephen Braude.

A brief of the two articles can be found here:

<https://carlossalvarado.wordpress.com/tag/felix-circle-felix-experimental-circle-kai-muegge-physical-mediumship-stephen-braude-michael-nahm/>

Braude's article can be read here (It is free to become a member of Academic.edu)

https://www.academia.edu/7593753/Investigations_of_the_Felix_Experimental_Group_2010-2013

Nahm holds a doctorate in biology with a specialty of Forest Science.

Braude holds a doctorate in philosophy and apparently specializes in the philosophy of science. He is Editor-in-Chief of the Journal of Scientific Exploration, a Society for Scientific Exploration publication.

The study of physical phenomena involves human nature, visual perception, a good sense of physics and realistic possibilities of the application of technology and a firm understanding of the metaphysics. In fact, none of these qualifications are in evidence between the two authors.

Despite having complete access to Kai over many séances--both traditional dark-room and dark-room table sessions, including cameras, body-cavity searches, complete control of room content and the actions and attire of sitters, the team has concluded that there may be fraud. In effect, both reports are written to debunk and cast doubt on everything else they could not debunk. Yes, both men report witnessing astounding phenomena but that is pretty much cast aside with the accusation of fraud.

You probably know that we have hosted two Kai Mügge visits to Reno for a total of five séances. (I have sat with him a total of seven times.) Our objective of hosting Kai was both to give people in this part of the country the opportunity to witness his work and also for me to have the opportunity to study his work under controlled conditions. Witness reports are at http://atransc.org/circles.htm#Séance_Room

Speaking with Braude about his "research" report, he told me that he had a relatively positive report with only a small amount of discussion about the obligatory alternative theories. However, Nahm decided at the last minute to submit a pretty much 100% debunking article and Braude felt obligated to modify his report to more agree with Nahm's. (I will forward Nahm's report to you on request)

Remember that Braude is the Editor-in-Chief of the JSE, which is supposedly a peer-reviewed journal. Nahm's report is decidedly unscientific and should not have survived peer review. In the same way, Braude had the authority and responsibility not to publish it. If he had not, then there would have been

no peer pressure reason to change his mostly positive report.

I asked Braude why his experimental protocol did not address Nahm's question and he responded something to the effect that new information comes up....

I have sat with Kai over a number of years and in no case have I seen evidence of fraud, or even the possibility of fraud that I would not have detected. Allowing for the possibility that Kai, in his stupor, could be clever enough to fool me with one or two magic tricks, it is simply magical thinking to propose that he has fooled me all of the time in each séance; me, and many other witnesses, some of which had hands on his limbs during 95% of the time phenomena was evident.

As one of the directors of the organization sponsoring the current and past sessions in Reno, I have had complete control over the room, what was in the room, what Kai had on him (inspection of near naked body and all of his cloths) as well of what every person brought into the room. As an engineer, I feel competent to spot devices and slight of hand trickery under such restricted quarters. The suggestion that Kai's work that I have witnessed was trickery is ... well again, it is magical thinking.

Braude may have conducted a scientific study with a well-considered protocol and controls, but he did not produce a proper research report. Certainly Nahm's report has no hint of science and should never have been published in a peer reviewed journal. Consequently, both reports can only be seen as opinion, and under the circumstances, they appear to be unethical attacks on a research subject.

Under Braude's leadership as Managing Editor of the Journal of Scientific Exploration, the society has published a number of "Failure to Replicate" articles about survival subjects while resisting efforts to provide the other side of the story, forcing me to accept that the society has a policy of debunking survival subjects.

See: http://ethericstudies.org/viewpoint/failure_to_replicate.htm and http://atransc.org/journal/evpma/ker_study_response.htm

Braude told me that he had an admission from Kai (gloating tone), but I have talked with Kai about it and he is flabbergasted. Also his doctor friend, who first invited Braude, is so irritated that he is writing a counter article. According to the two men, no such admission occurred.

We need to be mindful that this kind of treatment of those who are able to demonstrate such phenomena has the possibility of turning others away from the willingness to demonstrate. Our community needs to rally behind our heroes, else we will have no heroes.

The bottom line is that Braude is just playing at being a scientist. As a philosopher, he is not necessarily qualified to study objective phenomena. Certainly, he seems unaware of ethical treatment of human subjects and the taboo of reporting about opinions not addressed in the protocol. In effect, he is just another debunker a Trojan Horse!

Ours is a small community and eyes of the mainstream world watch our every move. As citizens of this community of interest, you and I have a choice to make. We either remain quiet and allow the Baude-Nahms of the world to speak for us under cover of their unrelated academic authority or we respond by tell them that this is not okay. Remaining passive has the potential of future censorship because such reports tend to show that if one is a fraud, then all must be a fraud.

So please consider becoming a community activist. Your silence only legitimatizes his attack on one of our few heroes.

Tom

Tom and Lisa Butler
Association TransCommunication (ATransC) Directors
SupportATransC@aol.com
atransc.org
Tom Butler
Co-director ATransC